# Functions satisfying holonomic *q*-differential equations

## **Wolfram Koepf**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Kassel, Germany, E-mail: koepf@mathematik.uni-kassel.de

#### Predrag M. Rajković

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering E-mail: pecar@masfak.ni.ac.yu

## Sladjana D. Marinković

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Electronic Engineering E-mail: sladjana@elfak.ni.ac.yu University of Niš, Serbia

**Abstract.** In a similar manner as in the papers [7] and [8], where explicit algorithms for finding the differential equations satisfied by holonomic functions were given, in this paper we deal with the space of the q-holonomic functions which are the solutions of linear q-differential equations with polynomial coefficients. The sum, product and the composition with power functions of q-holonomic functions are also q-holonomic and the resulting q-differential equations can be computed algorithmically.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A13, 33D15

**Key words:** *q*-derivative, *q*-differential equation, algorithm, algebra of *q*-holonomic functions.

## 1 Preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to continue the research exposed in [7] and [8]. There, the authors discussed *holonomic* functions which are the solutions of homogeneous linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients.

In the present investigation, we consider a similar problem from the point of view of q-calculus. As general references for q-calculus see [2] and [4]. We begin with a few definitions.

Let  $q \neq 1$ . The q-complex number  $[a]_q$  is given by

$$[a]_q:=\frac{1-q^a}{1-q},\quad a\in\mathbb{C}.$$

Of course

$$\lim_{q \to 1} [a]_q = a .$$

The q-factorial of a positive integer  $[n]_q$  and the q-binomial coefficient are defined by

$$[0]_q! := 1, \quad [n]_q! := [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!}.$$

The q-Pochammer symbol is given as

$$(a;q)_0 = 1$$
,  
 $(a;q)_k = (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{k-1})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ...$ ,  
 $(a;q)_{\infty} = \lim_{k \to \infty} (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{k-1})$ 

and

$$(a;q)_{\lambda} = \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{(aq^{\lambda};q)_{\infty}} \qquad (|q| < 1, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The q-derivative of a function f(x) is defined by

$$D_q f(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x - qx} \quad (x \neq 0), \quad D_q f(0) := \lim_{x \to 0} D_q f(x), \tag{1}$$

and higher order q-derivatives are defined recursively

$$D_q^0 f := f, \quad D_q^n f := D_q D_q^{n-1} f, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (2)

Of course, if f is differentiable at x, then

$$\lim_{q \to 1} D_q f(x) = f'(x) .$$

The next four lemmas are well-known in q-calculus and their proofs can be seen, for example, in [3] or [4].

**Lemma 1.1.** For an arbitrary pair of functions u(x) and v(x) and constants  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $q \neq 1$ , we have linearity and product rules

$$D_q(\alpha u(x) + \beta v(x)) = \alpha D_q u(x) + \beta D_q v(x),$$
  

$$D_q(u(x) \cdot v(x)) = u(qx)D_q v(x) + v(x)D_q u(x)$$
  

$$= u(x)D_q v(x) + v(qx)D_q u(x).$$

**Lemma 1.2.** The Leibniz rule for the higher order q-derivatives of a product of functions is given as

$$D_q^n(u(x) \cdot v(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \brack k}_q D_q^{n-k} u(q^k x) D_q^k v(x).$$

**Lemma 1.3.** For an arbitrary function u(x) and for  $t(x) = cx^k$   $(c, k \in \mathbb{C}, q^k \neq 1)$  we have for the composition with t(x)

$$D_q(u \circ t)(x) = D_{q^k}u(t) \cdot D_qt(x).$$

**Lemma 1.4.** The values of the function for the shifted argument and for higher q-derivatives are connected by the two relations:

$$f(q^n x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (1-q)^k {n \brack k}_q q^{{k \choose 2}} x^k D_q^k f(x), \tag{3}$$

$$D_q^n f(x) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n x^n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k {n \brack k}_q q^{{k \choose 2} - (n-1)k} f(q^k x). \tag{4}$$

For our further work, it is useful to write the product rule in slightly different form.

**Lemma 1.5.** The product rule for the q-derivative can be written in the form

$$D_q(u(x) \cdot v(x)) = u(x)D_qv(x) + v(x)D_qu(x) - (1-q)xD_qu(x)D_qv(x) . \tag{5}$$

In the same manner, higher q-derivatives can be expressed by

$$D_q^n(u(x) \cdot v(x)) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{\mu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu\mu}(x) D_q^{\nu} u(x) D_q^{\mu} v(x) ,$$

where  $\alpha_{\nu\mu}(x)$  are appropriate polynomials.

Let us finally recall that the q-hypergeometric series is given by ([2], [6])

$${}_{r}\phi_{s}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{r}\\b_{1},b_{2},\ldots,b_{s}\end{array}\middle|q,x\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(a_{j};\,q\right)_{k}}{\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(b_{j};\,q\right)_{k}}\frac{x^{k}}{\left(q;\,q\right)_{k}}\left((-1)^{k}\,q^{\binom{k}{2}}\right)^{1+s-r}.$$

# 2 On q-holonomic functions

For every function f(x) which is a solution of a polynomial homogeneous linear q-differential equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{p}_k(x) D_q^k f(x) = 0 \quad (\tilde{p}_n \neq 0) \qquad (\tilde{p}_k \in \mathbb{K}(q)[x], \ n \in \mathbb{N})$$
 (6)

we say that f(x) is a q-holonomic function. The smallest such n is called the holonomic order of f(x). Here  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field, typically  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}(a_1, a_2, \ldots)$  where  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  denote some parameters. An equation of type (6) is called a q-holonomic equation.

#### Example 2.1. Since

$$D_a x^s = [s]_a x^{s-1} \quad (x, \alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}),$$

we have

$$f(x) = x^s \implies xD_a f(x) - [s]_a f(x) = 0,$$

or

$$(q-1) x D_q f(x) - (q^s - 1) f(x) = 0$$
,

i.e. the power function is (for integer s) a q-holonomic function of first order.

**Example 2.2.** For  $0 < |q| < 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0, 1$ , we have

$$D_q((x;q)_{\lambda}) = -[\lambda]_q (qx;q)_{\lambda-1} = \frac{-[\lambda]_q}{1-x} (x;q)_{\lambda}.$$

Hence

$$f(x) = (x;q)_{\lambda} \Rightarrow (x-1)D_{q}f(x) - [\lambda]_{q}f(x) = 0$$

or

$$(q-1)(x-1)D_q f(x) - (q^{\lambda} - 1) f(x) = 0$$
.

Therefore the q-Pochhammer symbol is (for integer  $\lambda$ ) also q-holonomic of first order. Similarly, from

$$D_q((x;q)_{\infty}) = -(1-q)^{-1}(qx;q)_{\infty} = -\frac{1}{1-q}\frac{1}{1-x}(x;q)_{\infty},$$

we get

$$f(x) = (x;q)_{\infty} \implies (1-x)D_q f(x) + \frac{1}{1-q} f(x) = 0.$$

#### **Example 2.3.** The small q-exponential function

$$e_q(x) = {}_1\phi_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ - \end{pmatrix} q, x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q;q)_n} x^n, \quad |x| < 1, \ 0 < |q| < 1,$$

has q-derivative

$$D_{q}e_{q}(x) = \frac{e_{q}(x) - e_{q}(qx)}{x - qx}$$

$$= \frac{1}{x - qx} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q;q)_{n}} x^{n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q;q)_{n}} (qx)^{n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x - qx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n} - (qx)^{n}}{(q;q)_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{x - qx} \left\{ x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{n}}{(1 - q)(1 - q^{2}) \cdots (1 - q^{n-1})(1 - q^{n})} x^{n} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{x}{x - qx} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q)(1 - q^{2}) \cdots (1 - q^{k})} x^{k} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - q} e_{q}(x),$$

i.e. the small q-exponential function is q-holonomic of first order:

$$f(x) = e_q(x) \implies (1 - q)D_q f(x) - f(x) = 0.$$

Note that this q-differential equation as well the resulting q-differential equations of the next four examples and similar ones can be obtained completely automatically by the qsumdiffeq command of the Maple package qsum by Böing and Koepf [1]. The above equation, e.g., is obtained using the command

 $qsumdiffeq(1/qpochhammer(q,q,n)*x^n,q,n,f(x))$ 

#### **Example 2.4.** The big q-exponential function

$$E_q(x) = {}_0\phi_0\left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \end{array} \middle| q, -x\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} x^n, \quad 0 < |q| < 1$$

has q-derivative

$$D_q E_q(x) = \frac{1}{x - qx} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} (qx)^n \right) = \frac{1}{1 - q} E_q(qx).$$

which can obtained be in a similar way as in Example 2.3. Since

$$f(qx) = f(x) - (1 - q)x(D_q f)(x),$$

we conclude that the big q-exponential function is also q-holonomic of first order:

$$f(x) = E_q(x) \implies (1 - q)(x + 1)D_q f(x) - f(x) = 0.$$

**Example 2.5.** For 0 < |q| < 1, q-sine and q-cosine functions

$$\sin_q(x) = \frac{e_q(\mathrm{i}x) - e_q(-\mathrm{i}x)}{2\mathrm{i}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(q;q)_{2n+1}} x^{2n+1} ,$$

$$\cos_q(x) = \frac{e_q(\mathrm{i}x) + e_q(-\mathrm{i}x)}{2\mathrm{i}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(q;q)_{2n+1}} x^{2n} ,$$

$$\cos_q(x) = \frac{e_q(ix) + e_q(-ix)}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(q;q)_{2n}} x^{2n} ,$$

satisfy

$$(1-q)^2 D_q^2 f(x) + f(x) = 0$$

and are therefore q-holonomic of second order.

**Example 2.6.** The q-hypergeometric series  ${}_r\phi_s$  is q-holonomic. The qsumdiffeq command computes in particular for

$$f(x) = {}_{2}\phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c|c} a, b \\ c \end{array} \middle| q, x\right)$$

the q-holonomic equation

$$0 = (xabq - c)x(q - 1)^{2} D_{q}^{2} f(x)$$

$$+(-xb - xa + 1 + xabq - c + xab)(q - 1)D_{q} f(x)$$

$$+(-1 + a)(-1 + b) f(x) .$$

**Example 2.7** Many q-orthogonal polynomials are q-holonomic. The Big q-Jacobi polynomials (see e.g. [5], 3.5) are given by

$$f(x) = P_n(x; a, b, c; q) = {}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}, x \\ aq, cq \end{array} \middle| q, q \right).$$

They satisfy the q-holonomic equation

$$0 = q^{n}a(bqx - c)(q - 1)^{2}(1 - qx) D_{q}^{2}f(x)$$

$$+ (q - 1)(abq^{n+1} + abq^{2n+1}x + x - q^{n}a - q^{n}c - abq^{n+1}x - abq^{n+2}x + q^{n+1}ac)D_{q}f(x)$$

$$+ (q^{n} - 1)(abq^{n+1} - 1)f(x)$$

which is again easily determined by the qsumdiffeq command.

The following lemma will be the crucial tool for the investigations of the next section.

**Lemma 2.1.** If f(x) is a function satisfying a holonomic equation (6) of order n, then the functions  $D_a^l f(x)$   $(l=n,n+1,\ldots)$  can be expressed as

$$D_q^l f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(l)}(x) D_q^k f(x), \tag{7}$$

where  $p_k^{(l)}(x)$  are rational functions defined by

$$p_k^{(l)}(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_{kl}, & 0 \le l < n-1, \\ -\frac{\tilde{p}_k(x)}{\tilde{p}_n(x)}, & l = n \end{cases}$$

$$p_{k-1}^{(l-1)}(qx) + D_q p_k^{(l-1)}(x) + p_{n-1}^{(l-1)}(qx) p_k^{(n)}(x), & l > n, \end{cases}$$

for  $0 \le k \le n-1$  and 0 for other k's.

*Proof.* The representations (7) and the corresponding coefficients are evident by Equation (6) for  $l=0,1,\ldots,n$ . By q-deriving and using Lemma 1.1, from

$$D_q^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(n)}(x) D_q^k f(x)$$

we get

$$\begin{split} D_q^{n+1}f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_q \left( p_k^{(n)}(x) D_q^k f(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(n)}(qx) D_q^{k+1} f(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_q \left( p_k^{(n)}(x) \right) D_q^k f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( p_{k-1}^{(n)}(qx) + D_q \left( p_k^{(n)}(x) \right) D_q^k f(x) \right) + p_{n-1}^{(n)}(x) D_q^n f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(n+1)}(x) D_q^k f(x), \end{split}$$

with

$$p_k^{(n+1)}(x) = p_{k-1}^{(n)}(qx) + D_q p_k^{(n)}(x) + p_{n-1}^{(n)}(qx) p_k^{(n)}(x) \qquad (0 \le k \le n-1).$$

Repeating the procedure, we get the representation and coefficients for arbitrary l>n.  $\Diamond$ 

We finish this section by noting that there are functions which are not q-holonomic.

**Lemma 2.2.** The exponential function  $f(x) = a^x$   $(a > 0, a \ne 1)$  is not q-holonomic.

*Proof.* Taking successive q-derivatives of  $f(x) := a^x$  generates iteratively the functions of the list  $L := \{a^x, a^{qx}, a^{q^2x}, \ldots\}$ . Since the members of L are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$ , the linear space over  $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$  generated by L has infinite dimension. This is equivalent to the fact that there is no q-holonomic equation for f(x).  $\Diamond$ 

# 3 Operations with q-holonomic functions

In this section, we will formulate and prove a few theorems about q-holonomic functions provided by derivation, addition or multiplication of the given q-holonomic functions.

**Theorem 3.1.** If f(x) is a q-holonomic function of order n, then the function  $h_m(x) = D_q^m f(x)$  is a q-holonomic function of order at most n for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, there is an algorithm to compute the corresponding q-differential equation.

*Proof.* If we prove the statement for m=1, the final conclusion follows by mathematical induction.

Let  $h(x) = D_q f(x)$ , where the function f(x) satisfies (6). If  $\tilde{p}_0(x) \equiv 0$ , then immediately h(x) is a q-holonomic function of order n-1.

Hence, let  $\tilde{p}_0(x) \not\equiv 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$D_q^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(n)}(x) D_q^k f(x) ,$$

wherefrom

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \frac{1}{p_0^{(n)}(x)} \Big( D_q^n f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k^{(n)}(x) D_q^k f(x) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{p_0^{(n)}(x)} \Big( D_q^{n-1} h(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} p_{k+1}^{(n)}(x) D_q^k h(x) \Big). \end{split}$$

Also, by q-deriving, we get

$$D_a^n h(x)$$

$$\begin{split} &=D_q^{n+1}f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}p_k^{(n+1)}(x)D_q^kf(x)\\ &=p_0^{(n+1)}(x)f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}p_k^{(n+1)}(x)D_q^{k-1}h(x)\\ &=\frac{p_0^{(n+1)}(x)}{p_0^{(n)}(x)}\Big(D_q^{n-1}h(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}p_{k+1}^{(n)}(x)D_q^kh(x)\Big)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}p_{k+1}^{(n+1)}(x)D_q^kh(x). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$D_q^n h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k(x; h) D_q^k h(x) ,$$

where

$$P_k(x;h) = p_{k+1}^{(n+1)}(x) - \frac{p_0^{(n+1)}(x)}{p_0^{(n)}(x)} p_{k+1}^{(n)}(x) , \quad k = 0, 1, \dots n-2,$$

$$P_{n-1}(x;h) = \frac{p_0^{(n+1)}(x)}{p_0^{(n)}(x)} .$$

By multiplying with the common denominator of the rational functions  $\{P_k(x;h), k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , we can conclude that h(x) satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{P}_{k}(x;h) D_{q}^{k} h(x) = 0,$$

i.e it is a q-holonomic function of order  $\leq n$ .  $\Diamond$ 

**Example 3.1.** In Example 2.2, for the q-Pochhammer symbol we proved that it satisfies

$$f(x) = (x;q)_{\infty} \Rightarrow (1-x)D_q f(x) + \frac{1}{1-q} f(x) = 0.$$

Now, we have

$$h_m(x) = D_q^m((x;q)_\infty) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1 - q^m x) D_q h_m(x) + \frac{q^m}{1 - q} h_m(x) = 0 \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** If u(x) and v(x) are q-holonomic functions of order n and m respectively, then the functions u(x) + v(x) are q-holonomic functions of order at most m+n and there is an algorithm to compute the corresponding q-differential equations.

*Proof.* If u(x) and v(x) are q-holonomic functions of order n and m respectively, they satisfy holonomic equations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{p}_{k}(x) D_{q}^{k} u(x) = 0 , \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{m} \tilde{r}_{j}(x) D_{q}^{j} v(x) = 0 , \qquad (8)$$

where  $\tilde{p}_k(x)$  and  $\tilde{r}_j(x)$  are polynomials and  $\tilde{p}_n \not\equiv 0$ ,  $\tilde{r}_m \not\equiv 0$ . According to Lemma 2.1,  $D_q^l u(x)$  and  $D_q^l v(x)$  can be represented as

$$D_q^l u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(l)}(x) D_q^k u(x) , \qquad D_q^l v(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r_j^{(l)}(x) D_q^j v(x) , \qquad (9)$$

where  $p_k^{(l)}(x)$  and  $r_j^{(l)}(x)$  are rational functions as mentioned lemma. Let h(x)=u(x)+v(x). Then, according to (9), we have

$$D_q^l h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(l)}(x) D_q^k u(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r_j^{(l)}(x) D_q^j v(x) , \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, m+n.$$
 (10)

Taking the values for  $l=0,1,\ldots,m+n-1$  in the above identities and expressing q-derivatives of u(x) and v(x) by q-derivatives of h(x), we get

$$\begin{split} D_q^k u(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+n-1} a_k^{(l)}(x) \ D_q^l h(x) \ , \quad k=0,1,\dots,n-1 \ , \\ D_q^j v(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{m+n-1} b_j^{(l)}(x) \ D_q^l h(x) \ , \quad j=0,1,\dots,m-1 \ . \end{split}$$

By eliminating  $D_q^k u(x)$   $(k=0,1,\dots,n-1)$  and  $D_q^j v(x)$   $(j=0,1,\dots,m-1)$  from the last identity (l=m+n) of (10), we get

$$D_q^{m+n}h(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{m+n-1} c_l(x)D_q^l h(x) ,$$

where

$$c_l(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(l)}(x) a_k^{(l)}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r_j^{(l)}(x) b_j^{(l)}(x) .$$

By multiplying with the common denominator of  $\{c_l(x), l = 0, 1, \dots m + n - 1\}$ , we get the holonomic equation for h(x)

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m+n} \tilde{c}_l(x) D_q^l h(x) = 0.$$

This proves that the q-holonomic order of u(x)+v(x) is at most m+n, but can be less. An iterative version of the given algorithm will determine the q-holonomic equation of lowest order for u(x)+v(x).  $\diamondsuit$ 

Note that the algorithm given in Theorem 3.2 finds a q-differential equation which is not only valid for u(x)+v(x), but also for every linear combination  $\lambda_1 u(x)+\lambda_2 v(x)$ , in particular for u(x)-v(x).

**Example 3.2.** The small q-exponential function from Example 2.3 is q-holonomic of first order and satisfies

$$u(x) = e_q(x) \implies D_q^k u(x) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^k} u(x) \quad (k = 0, 1, \ldots).$$

Also, the q-sine from Example 2.5 is q-holonomic of second order and satisfies

$$v(x) = \sin_q(x) \Rightarrow D_q^{k+2}v(x) = \frac{-1}{(1-q)^2}D_q^kv(x) \quad (k=0,1,\ldots).$$

Now, by the algorithm given in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the function h(x)=u(x)+v(x) satisfies

$$D_q^3 h(x) = \frac{1}{1-q} D_q^2 h(x) - \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} D_q h(x) + \frac{1}{(1-q)^3} h(x).$$

i.e., it is q-holonomic of third order.

**Theorem 3.3.** If u(x) and v(x) are q-holonomic functions of order n and m respectively, then the function  $u(x) \cdot v(x)$  is q-holonomic of order at most  $m \cdot n$  and there is an algorithm to compute the corresponding q-differential equation.

*Proof.* If u(x) and v(x) are q-holonomic functions of order n and m respectively, they satisfy holonomic equations (8), and their q-derivatives (9).

Let  $h(x) = u(x) \cdot v(x)$ . Then, according to (1.5), we have

$$\begin{split} D_q^l h(x) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^l \sum_{\mu=0}^l \alpha_{\nu\mu}(x) D_q^\nu u(x) D_q^\mu v(x) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^l \sum_{\mu=0}^l \alpha_{\nu\mu}(x) \Big( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(\nu)}(x) \ D_q^k u(x) \Big) \Big( \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r_j^{(\mu)}(x) \ D_q^j v(x) \Big), \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$D_q^l h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_{kj}^{(l)}(x) D_q^k u(x) D_q^j v(x) \qquad (l = 0, 1, \dots, mn),$$
 (11)

where

$$\beta_{kj}^{(l)}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{l} \sum_{\mu=0}^{l} \alpha_{\nu\mu}(x) p_k^{(\nu)}(x) r_j^{(\mu)}(x) .$$

Taking the relations for (11) for  $l=0,1,\ldots,mn-1$  and expressing the q-derivatives  $D_q^k u(x) D_q^j v(x)$  by q-derivatives of h(x), we get

$$D_q^k u(x) D_q^j v(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{mn-1} \gamma_{kj}^{(l)}(x) D_q^l h(x) \qquad (0 \le k \le n-1; \ 0 \le j \le m-1).$$

Eliminating all those  $D_q^k u(x) D_q^j v(x)$  from the last identity (l=mn) of (11), it becomes

$$D_q^{mn}h(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{mn-1} \sigma_l(x)D_q^l h(x) ,$$

where

$$\sigma_l(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_{kj}^{(l)}(x) \gamma_{kj}^{(l)}(x) .$$

By multiplying with the common denominator of  $\{\sigma_l(x), l=0,1,\dots mn-1\}$ , we get the q-holonomic equation for h(x)

$$\sum_{l=0}^{mn} \tilde{\sigma}_l(x) D_q^l h(x) = 0.$$

This proves that the q-holonomic order of  $u(x) \cdot v(x)$  is at most mn, but can be less. An iterative version of the given algorithm will determine the q-holonomic equation of lowest order for  $u(x) \cdot v(x)$ .  $\diamondsuit$ 

Note furthermore that by Lemma 2.2 there is no similar algorithm for the quotient u(x)/v(x).

**Example 3.3.** We use again  $u(x) = e_q(x)$  and  $v(x) = \sin_q(x)$ . Now, by the given algorithm the function  $h(x) = u(x) \cdot v(x)$  satisfies

$$(1-q)^2 D_q^2 h(x) - (1-q^2) D_q h(x) + (qx^2 - (1+q)(x-1))h(x) = 0,$$

i.e., it is q-holonomic of second order.

**Theorem 3.4.** If u(x) is a q-holonomic function of order n, then the function  $w(x) = u(x^{\nu})$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a q-holonomic function of order at most n and there is an algorithm to compute the corresponding q-differential equation.

*Proof.* By assumption u(t) satisfies a q-holonomic equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{p}_k(t) D_q^k u(t) = 0 , \qquad (12)$$

where  $\tilde{p}_k(t)$  are polynomials and  $\tilde{p}_n \not\equiv 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1,  $D_q^l u(t)$  can be represented as

$$D_q^l u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(l)}(t) D_q^k u(t) , \qquad (13)$$

where  $p_k^{(l)}(t)$  are rational functions determined by that lemma.

Let  $t = x^{\nu}$ . Using Lemma 1.3, we have

$$D_q w(x) = D_{q^{\nu}} \ u(t) \ D_q(x^{\nu}) = \frac{u(t) - u(q^{\nu}t)}{(1 - q^{\nu})t} \ [\nu]_q \ x^{\nu - 1} \ .$$

According to (4), we get

$$D_q w(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} e_{j,\nu}(x) D_q^j u(t) ,$$

where

$$e_{j,\nu}(x) = (-1)^{j-1} (1-q)^{j-1} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{j}{2}} x^{\nu j - 1}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu.$$
 (14)

By (13), we can write

$$D_q w(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} e_{j,\nu}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(j)}(t) \ D_q^k u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x) \ D_q^k u(t) \ ,$$

where

$$f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} p_k^{(j)}(x^{\nu}) e_{j,\nu}(x) , \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 .$$
 (15)

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} D_q^2 w(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_q \left( f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x) D_q^k u(t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_q f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x) D_q^k u(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) D_q \left( D_q^k u(t) \right) .. \end{split}$$

As before, the second sum in the above term can be transformed to

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{i,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) D_q \left( D_q^i u(t) \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{i,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} e_{j,\nu}(x) D_q^j \left( D_q^i u(t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_{i,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) e_{j,\nu}(x) D_q^{i+j} u(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_{i,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) e_{j,\nu}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^{(i+j)}(t) D_q^k u(t) \;. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$D_q^2 w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(2)}(x) D_q^k u(t) ,$$

where

$$f_{k,\nu}^{(2)}(x) = D_q f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_{i,\nu}^{(1)}(qx) e_{j,\nu}(x) p_k^{(i+j)}(x^{\nu}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

By induction, we obtain the representations

$$D_q^l w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(l)}(x) D_q^k u(t) , \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (16)

where  $f_{k,\nu}^{(0)}(x)=\delta_{k0},\;\;f_{k,\nu}^{(1)}(x)$  is given in (15) and

$$f_{k,\nu}^{(l)}(x) = D_q f_{k,\nu}^{(l-1)}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} f_{i,\nu}^{(l-1)}(qx) e_{j,\nu}(x) p_k^{(i+j)}(x^{\nu}). \tag{17}$$

Taking the first n of the identities (16), we can determine

$$D_q^k u(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b_{l,\nu}^{(k)}(x) D_q^l w(x) , \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where  $b_{l,\nu}^{(k)}(x)$  are rational functions. Substituting this in identity (16), we get

$$D_q^n w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(l)}(x) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b_{l,\nu}^{(k)}(x) D_q^l w(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} c_{l,\nu}(x) D_q^l w(x) ,$$

where

$$c_{l,\nu}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{k,\nu}^{(l)}(x) b_{l,\nu}^{(k)}(x).$$

By multiplying with the common denominator of  $\{c_{l,\nu}(x),\ l=0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n} \tilde{c}_{l,\nu}(x) D_q^l w(x) = 0. \quad \diamondsuit$$

**Example 3.4.** In Example 2.1, it was proved that

$$u(x) = x^s \implies (q-1) x D_q u(x) - (q^s - 1) u(x) = 0.$$

Hence n=1,  $\tilde{p}_1(x)=(q-1)\,x$  and  $\tilde{p}_0(x)=-(q^s-1)$ . By the procedure of Theorem 3.4, we get for  $w(x)=u(x)^{\nu}$ 

$$D_q w(x) = f_{0,\nu}^{(1)}(x) w(x)$$
 where  $f_{0,\nu}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{q^{s\nu} - 1}{q - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{x}$ 

Finally,

$$w(x) = u(x^{\nu}) \implies (q-1) x D_q w(x) - (q^{s\nu} - 1) w(x) = 0.$$

Example 3.5. In Example 2.2, it was proved that

$$u(x) = (x;q)_{\lambda} \Rightarrow (q-1)(x-1)D_q u(x) - (q^{\lambda} - 1)u(x) = 0.$$

Using our algorithm we get for  $w(x) = u(x^2) = (x^2; q)_{\lambda}$  the q-holonomic equation

$$(q-1)(x-1)(x+1)(x^2q-1) D_q w(x) - x(q^{\lambda}-1)(x^2q^{\lambda+1}-q-1+x^2q) f(x) = 0$$

and similar, but more complicated, equations for  $(x^{\nu};q)_{\lambda}$  for higher  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 3.6.** In Example 2.5, for the q-sine function, we got

$$u(x) = \sin_q(x) \implies (1-q)^2 D_q^2 u(x) + u(x) = 0.$$

Now, for  $w(x) = u(x^2)$ , we have

$$D_q w(x) = f_{0,2}^{(1)}(x)u(t) + f_{1,2}^{(1)}(x)D_q u(t),$$

with

$$f_{0,2}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{qx^3}{1-q}, \qquad f_{1,2}^{(1)}(x) = (1+q)x$$

and

$$D_q^2 w(x) = f_{0,2}^{(2)}(x)u(t) + f_{1,2}^{(2)}(x)D_q u(t),$$

with

$$f_{0,2}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(qx)^2(-2 - q - q^2 + q^3x^4)}{(1 - q)^2}$$
$$f_{1,2}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{(1 + q)(1 - q + q^2(1 + q^2)x^4)}{1 - q}.$$

By eliminating  $D_q u(t)$ , we get

$$D_q^2 w(x) = c_{0,2}(x)w(x) + c_{1,2}(x)D_q w(x),$$

wherefrom we get for the function  $w(x) = u(x^2)$  the following equation

$$xD_q^2w(x) - \left(1 + q^2\frac{1+q^2}{1-q}x^4\right)D_qw(x) + qx^3\left(\frac{1-q^4}{(1-q)^3} + \frac{q^2}{(1-q)^2}x^4\right)w(x) = 0.$$

# 4 Sharpness of the algorithms

In the previous section we proved that the sum, product and composition with powers of q-holonomic functions are q-holonomic too. In this section we show that the given bounds for the orders are sharp in all algorithms considered.

**Example 4.1.** The functions  $u(x) = x^2$  and  $v(x) = x^3$  are q-holonomic of first order. According to Theorem 3.2, the function h(x) = u(x) + v(x) is q-holonomic of order at most two. However, all polynomials are q-holonomic functions of first order, and we find that h(x) satisfies the equation

$$x(1+x)D_qh(x) - ([2]_q + [3]_qx)h(x) = 0.$$

This example shows that the order of the sum of some q-holonomic functions can be strictly less than the sum of their orders. This applies if the two functions u(x) and v(x) are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{K}(q)(x)$ .

However, we will prove that for every algorithm given in the previous section there are functions for which the maximal order is attained.

**Lemma 4.1.** The functions  $E_q(x^{\mu})$   $(\mu = 1, 2, ..., n)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}(q)(x)$ .

Proof. Let us consider a linear combination

$$r_1 E_q(x) + r_2 E_q(x^2) + \dots + r_n E_q(x^n) = 0$$
,

where  $r_{\mu}=r_{\mu}(x)$   $(\mu=1,2,\ldots,n)$  are rational functions and suppose that  $r_{\nu}\not\equiv 0$ . Then,

$$r_{\nu}E_{q}(x^{\mu}) = -\sum_{\substack{\mu=0\\ \mu \neq \nu}}^{n} r_{\mu}E_{q}(x^{\mu}) ,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu=0\\\mu\neq\nu}}^{n} \frac{r_{\mu}}{r_{\nu}} \frac{E_{q}(x^{\mu})}{E_{q}(x^{\nu})} = -1.$$
 (18)

Since

$$A(m) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} (x^{\mu})^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} (x^{\nu})^n} = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{m(\mu-\nu)} = \begin{cases} +\infty , & \mu > \nu , \\ 0, & \mu < \nu , \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{E_q(x^\mu)}{E_q(x^\nu)}=\lim_{m\to\infty}\ A(m)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc} +\infty\ , & \mu>\nu\ ,\\ 0\ , & \mu<\nu\ . \end{array}\right.$$

This is a contradiction with (18). Hence, it follows that  $r_{\mu} \equiv 0$  for all  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , i.e.  $E_q(x^{\mu})$   $(\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$ .  $\Diamond$ 

Lemma 4.2. The function

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n E_q(x^{\mu})$$
 (19)

is q-holonomic of order n.

*Proof.* The function  $E_q(x)$  satisfies the q-holonomic equation of first order (see Example 2.4)

$$(1-q)(t+1)D_q f(t) - f(t) = 0.$$

With respect to Theorem 3.4, for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $E_q(x^{\mu})$  is q-holonomic of first order and one has

$$D_q^l(E_q(x^\mu)) = f_{0,\mu}^{(l)}(x)E_q(x^\mu) , \quad l = 0, 1, \dots ,$$
 (20)

where  $f_{0,\mu}^{(l)}(x)$  are rational functions given as in (17).

According to Theorem 3.2, the function  $F_n(x)$  is q-holonomic of order at most n. Therefore

$$D_q^l F_n(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n D_q^l (E_q(x^\mu)) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f_{0,\mu}^{(l)}(x) E_q(x^\mu)$$
.

Let us suppose that the function  $F_n(x)$  satisfies a q-holonomic equation of order m, i.e.

$$D_q^m F_n(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i D_q^i F_n(x) = 0.$$
 (21)

This equation can be represented in the form

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \left( f_{0,\mu}^{(m)}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i f_{0,\mu}^{(i)}(x) \right) E_q(x^{\mu}) = 0.$$

Since  $E_q(x^\mu)$   $(\mu=1,2,\ldots,n)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$ , it follows that

$$f_{0,\mu}^{(m)}(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i f_{0,\mu}^{(i)}(x) = 0$$
,  $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

This can be written in the form of the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i f_{0,\mu}^{(i)}(x) = -f_{0,\mu}^{(m)}(x) , \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

with unknown rational functions  $A_i = A_i(x)$ .

If m < n, then the system is overdetermined and has no solution. Hence it follows that m = n.  $\Diamond$ 

**Theorem 4.3.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a function F which is q-holonomic of order n, such that  $H = D_q F$  is q-holonomic of order n.

*Proof.* The function defined by (19) satisfies the statement.  $\Diamond$ 

**Theorem 4.4.** For each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  there are functions U and V that are q-holonomic of order n and m respectively, such that H = U + V is q-holonomic of order n + m.

Proof. Consider the functions

$$U(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} E_q(x^{\mu})$$
 and  $V(x) = \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{n+m} E_q(x^{\mu})$ . (22)

According to Lemma 4.2, they are q-holonomic of order n and m respectively, and the function

$$H(x) = U(x) + V(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+m} E_q(x^{\mu})$$

is q-holonomic of order n+m.  $\Diamond$ 

**Theorem 4.5.** For each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  there are functions U and V that are q-holonomic of order n and m respectively, such that  $H = U \cdot V$  is q-holonomic of order  $n \cdot m$ .

Proof. The statement is valid for the functions defined by (22), because in the function

$$H(x) = U(x) \cdot V(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{n+m} E_q(x^{\mu}) E_q(x^{\nu})$$

there are nm linearly independent summands  $E_q(x^\mu)E_q(x^\nu)$   $(\mu=1,2,\ldots,n;\ \nu=n+1,n+2,\ldots,n+m)$  over  $\mathbb{K}(q)[x]$ . The proof of their independence is again based on Lemma 4.1.  $\Diamond$ 

**Theorem 4.6.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a function F which is q-holonomic of order n, such that  $W(x) = F(x^{\nu})$  is q-holonomic of order n.

*Proof.* Starting from the function  $F_n(x)$  defined by (19), we can form

$$W(x) = F_n(x^{\nu}) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n E_q(x^{\mu\nu})$$

which is of the same type as  $F_n(x)$ .  $\Diamond$ 

## References

- [1] H. BÖING, W. KOEPF, *Algorithms for q-hypergeometric summation in computer algebra*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **28**, 1999, 777–799.
- [2] G. GASPER, M. RAHMAN, *Basic Hypergeometric Series, 2nd ed*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **96**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] W. HAHN, *Lineare Geometrische Differenzengleichungen*, 169 Berichte der Mathematisch-Statistischen Sektion im Forschungszentrum Graz, 1981.
- [4] V. KAC, P. CHEUNG, Quantum Calculus, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [5] R. KOEKOEK, R.F. SWARTTOUW, Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, Report of Delft University of Technology No 98-17, 1998.
- [6] W. KOEPF, Hypergeometric Summation An algorithmic approach to Summation and Special Function Identities, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg, Braunschweig–Wiesbaden, 1998.
- [7] W. KOEPF, D. SCHMERSAU, Spaces of Equations Satisfying Simple Differential Equations, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), Technical Report TR 94-2, 1994.
- [8] SALVY, B., ZIMMERMANN, P., *GFUN: A package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable*, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software **20**, 1994, 163–177.